Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,569	CRAIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VINEETA S. PANWALKAR	2611	

All Participants:

(1) *VINEETA S. PANWALKAR*.

(2) *Mr. Warren Burnam, Jr. (Reg. No.29,366)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *31 March 2008*

Time: *3pm EST*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
4-8, 10, 11, 16 ,23-26 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative, Mr. Burnam, agreed to amendments to claims4-8, 10, 11, 16 ,23-26 and 45 in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)